

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/790,428		03/01/2004	William E. Adams	030655	9794	
23464	7590	06/07/2005		EXAMINER		
		ERSOLL, P.C.	WILLIAMS, MARK A			
20TH FLOC		rre, 301 grant st	KEEI	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3676		
PITTSBURG	GH, PA	15219				

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/790,428	ADAMS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mark A. Williams	3676					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply			ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) N atute, cause the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time IONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	104.						
	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			. `				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		to by the Examiner					
Applicant may not request that any objection to							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	• · ·	, ,	FR 1 121(d)				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· ·	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority docum							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	,	en received in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bur	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	-4					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies n	ot received.					
Attachment(s)	· · —	·					
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB, Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3104.		of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail D	Pate 20050529				

Application/Control Number: 10/790,428

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10-13, and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Adams, US Patent 5,535,971. A door hook to be extended over a door top to both sides of a door, the door hook comprising a U-shaped bracket having a top member, a front side which is attached to one edge of the top member, and a back side which is attached to the other edge of the top member opposite the one edge; a first hook 21 member attached to the front side; and a spacer portion 19, the spacer portion being adjacent the back side and opposite the front side, being detachable from the U-shaped bracket and being positioned and configured such that the spacer portion and the front side are separated by a first distance and, after the spacer portion is detached from the back side, the front side and back side are separated by a second distance greater than the first distance; wherein the top member, the front side, the back side, the spacer portion and the

Application/Control Number: 10/790,428 Page 3

Art Unit: 3676

first hook member form an integrally molded plastic body. Note that spacer can be placed on the front and back members directly (see column 2, lines 56-60).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams. Adams teaches an adhesive for attaching the spacer. Adams does not explicitly teach two-sided tape or threaded portions as means for attachment. The examiner serves Official Notice that such structures are art recognize equivalents, and would work equally well. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one skilled in the art to have included such modifications, as alternative means for connection that would have worked equality as well, as known in the art.
- 5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams. Adam discloses second hook (see figure 1), but does not disclose the

Application/Control Number: 10/790,428 Page 4

Art Unit: 3676

second hook attached to the spacer, as claimed. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device in this way, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70. Such a modification is not critical to the design and would have produced no unexpected results.

6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams. Although Adams does not provide a tab or finger as claimed, Adams does discloses the general concept of ridges for assisting in the engagement of the spacer. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the different portions of the ridges of whatever form or shape was desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. *In re Dailey et al.*, 149 USPQ 47. Such a modification is not critical to the design and would have produced no unexpected results.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,428 Page 5

Art Unit: 3676

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-7064. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Williams 5/29/05 MW

Dizanne Dino Barrett Primary Examiner